



TITLE:

# The fundamental group of a compact flat Lorentz space form is virtually polycyclic(Transformation Groups and Representation Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Goldman, W.; Kamishima, Y.

---

CITATION:

Goldman, W. ...[et al]. The fundamental group of a compact flat Lorentz space form is virtually polycyclic(Transformation Groups and Representation Theory). 数理解析研究所講義録 1983, 501: 32-48

ISSUE DATE:

1983-10

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/103680>

RIGHT:

The fundamental group of a compact flat  
Lorentz space form is virtually polycyclic

W. Goldman (M.I.T)

Y. Kamishima (北大理) 神島芳宣

A flat Lorentz space form is a geodesically complete Lorentzian manifold of zero curvature. It is well known (see Auslander-Markus [2]) that such space  $M$  may be represented as a quotient  $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma$  where  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $n$ -dimensional Minkowski space ( $n$  equals the dimension of  $M$ ) and  $\Gamma$  is a group of Lorentz isometries acting properly discontinuously and freely on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular the universal covering of  $M$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ .

Theorem. Let  $M$  be a compact flat Lorentz space form. Then  $\pi_1(M)$  is virtually polycyclic.

Recall that a group is virtually polycyclic if it can be

built by iterated extensions from finitely many finite groups and cyclic groups. This result affirms a conjecture of Milnor [12] in a special case. For discussion of this conjecture as well as another special case, we refer to Fried-Goldman [7].

The importance of this result is that it reduces the classification of compact flat Lorentz space forms to fairly elementary problems concerning Lie algebras and lattices in solvable Lie groups; we hope to pursue this classification in a future publication. For a description of this reduction and the classification in dimension 3, see Fried-Goldman [7]; in dimension 4 the classification is worked out in Fried [6]. One immediate consequence of the structure theory developed in Fried-Goldman [7], §1 and Kamishima [11] is the following:

Corollary. Let  $M$  be the compact flat Lorentz space form. Then  $M$  has a finite covering which is diffeomorphic to a solvmanifold.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In the first section we collect some basic facts about the group  $E(n-1,1)$  of isometries of  $n$ -dimensional Minkowski space. In the second section we prove the theorem in the special case that the linear holonomy group of  $M$  is discrete. Finally, in the third section we remove this discreteness assumption, thereby concluding the proof of the theorem.

## §1. Some algebraic lemmas

1.1 We give  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Lorentzian inner product  $\omega = dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_{n-1}^2 - dx_n^2$ . Denote by  $E(n-1,1)$  the group of all Lorentz isometries  $E \rightarrow E$ . It is well known that  $E(n-1,1)$  is a semidirect product  $T \rtimes O(n-1,1)$  is where  $T \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the group of translations and  $O(n-1,1)$  is the homogeneous Lorentz group (orthogonal group) consisting of all linear mappings preserving  $\omega$ . Let  $L: E(n-1,1) \rightarrow O(n-1,1)$  denote the projection homomorphism with kernel  $T$ . Let  $E^0(n-1,1)$  denote the identity component of  $E(n-1,1)$  then  $E^0(n-1,1)$  is the semidirect product  $T \rtimes SO_+(n-1,1)$  where  $SO_+(n-1,1)$  is the identity component of  $O(n-1,1)$  (consisting of orientation-preserving, causality-preserving Lorentz-orthogonal transformations).

A group is virtually polycyclic if it has a polycyclic subgroup of finite index. It is well known (see Milnor [12], 2.2) that a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of a connected Lie group which contains a solvable subgroup of finite index is virtually polycyclic. Recall that a connected Lie group  $G$  is amenable if it splits as a semidirect product  $S \rtimes K$  where  $S$  is a solvable normal subgroup and  $K$  is compact. Then it is also well known that a discrete subgroup of a connected amenable Lie group  $G$  is virtually polycyclic (Milnor [12], 2.2). Thus, if  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  is a discrete subgroup and  $L(\Gamma)$  lies in an amenable subgroup of  $O(n-1,1)$  which has finitely many components, then  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

1.2 In order to apply this observation, we must understand the structure of the connected subgroups of  $O(n-1,1)$ . A thorough discussion is given in Greenberg [9], §4 as well as Chen-Greenberg [5], §4.

Let  $V \subset E$  be a linear subspace of dimension  $k < n$  and let  $G_V$  be its stabilizer. Then the quadratic form on  $E$  defining  $O(n-1,1)$  restricts to a quadratic form  $q_V$  on  $V$  which may be positive definite, indefinite (or negative definite), or degenerate. If  $q_V$  is positive, then there exists  $g \in O(n-1,1)$  such that

$gV = \mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $G_V$  is conjugate by  $g$  to the subgroup  $O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1)$  of  $O(n-1,1)$ . In case  $q_V$  is indefinite (or negative definite if  $k=1$ ), then  $V$  is equivalent to subspace  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $G_V$  is conjugate to  $O(n-k) \times O(k-1,1) \subset O(n-1,1)$ . If  $q_V$  is degenerate, then the kernel of  $q_V$  consists of all null vectors in  $V$  and is one-dimensional. It is easy to see that the stabilizer of a null ray is an amenable Lie group (it is isomorphic to the group of Euclidean similarities  $\mathbb{R}^{n-2} \rtimes (\mathbb{R}^* \times SO(n-2))$ ). Thus, it follows from 1.1 that if  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  is a discrete subgroup such that  $L(\Gamma)$  normalizes a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  upon which the Lorentzian metric is degenerate, then  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

1.3 These ideas play a key role in the proof of the following lemma, which although known, is difficult to find stated in the literature:

Lemma. Let  $G \subset O(n-1,1)$  be a nontrivial closed connected amenable (e.g. solvable) subgroup of  $O(n-1,1)$  and  $N(G)$  its normalizer. Then:

(a) If  $G$  is noncompact, then  $N(G)$  is amenable.

(b) If  $G$  is compact, then either  $N(G)$  is compact or there exists  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , and a  $g \in O(n-1,1)$  such that  $g^{-1}N(G)g \subset O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1) \subset O(n-1,1)$  and  $g^{-1}Gg \subset O(k) \times \{1\} \subset O(n-1,1)$ .

Proof. Let  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 - x_n^2$  be the quadratic form invariant under  $O(n-1,1)$  and let  $L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0, q(x) < 0\}$  be the positive half of the light cone,  $\bar{L}$  its closure and  $\partial L = q^{-1}(0) \cap \{x_n \geq 0\}$  its boundary. Since  $G$  is amenable it preserves at least one ray in the compact convex cone  $\bar{L}$  (Greenleaf [10]). Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all such rays and  $\Sigma$  their linear span in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Clearly  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  are invariant under  $N(G)$ .

We claim that  $\Sigma \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ . For if  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n$  there would exist  $n$  linearly independent vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \bar{L}$  which would be eigenvalues for all of the elements of  $G$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  the orthogonal complement of the span of  $e_1, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_{j+1}, \dots, e_n$  is a line disjoint from  $\bar{L}/\{0\}$  and contains a vector  $v_j$  with  $q(v_j) = +1$ . Clearly  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a linearly independent set of simultaneous eigenvectors for  $G$  and for each  $g \in G$ ,  $gv_i = v_i$  since  $g$  preserves  $q$  and  $q(v_j) = +1$ . Thus  $G$  fixes a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and must be trivial.

Now we prove (a). Suppose  $G$  is noncompact. Then  $\Lambda \subset \partial L$ . For otherwise  $G$  preserves a ray  $\ell$  inside  $L$ , and since  $q$  is non-zero on  $\ell$ ,  $G$  must fix  $\ell$  pointwise. Since  $G$  preserves  $q$  and

fixes  $\ell$ , it preserves another quadratic form  $q'$  which agrees with  $q$  on  $\ell^\perp$  and equals  $-q$  on  $\ell$ . Since  $q'$  is positive definite,  $G$  must be compact, a contradiction. Thus  $\Lambda \subset \partial L$ .

We prove 1.3(a) inductively on  $n$ . For  $n = 2$ ,  $E(1,1)$  is already amenable so the closed subgroup  $N(G)$  is automatically amenable. Assume that 1.3(a) has been proved for all dimensions  $< n$ . We divide the proof into two cases, depending on whether  $\Sigma \subset \partial L$  or not.

If  $\Sigma \subset \partial L$ , then  $\dim \Sigma = 1$ . The stabilizer in  $O(n-1,1)$  of such a  $\Sigma$  is amenable (it is easily seen to be isomorphic to the Euclidean similarity group  $(\mathbb{R}^* \times O(n-2)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ ) and since closed subgroups of amenable groups are amenable,  $N(G)$  is amenable.

Otherwise  $\Sigma \not\subset \partial L$  and  $L$  intersects  $\Sigma$  in an open cone in  $\Sigma$ . Thus  $q|_\Sigma$  is indefinite and there exists  $g \in O(n-1,1)$  such that  $g(\Sigma) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $k = n - \dim \Sigma$ . Then  $g(\Sigma^\perp) = \mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}$  and  $N(G)$  preserves the orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^n = \Sigma^\perp \oplus \Sigma$ . Hence  $g^{-1}N(G)g \subset O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1)$ . Since  $G$  is noncompact it must project to a noncompact amenable subgroup of  $O(n-k-1,1)$ . Since  $k > 0$ ,  $N(G)$  must project to a closed amenable subgroup of  $O(n-k-1,1)$  by the induction hypothesis. It follows that  $N(G)$  is amenable and (a) is proved.

Now suppose that  $G$  is compact. For any  $v \in L$  the orbit  $Gv$  is compact and its barycenter is a vector in  $L$  fixed by  $G$ . Thus  $\Lambda$  meets  $L$  and  $\Sigma$  is the span of  $\Lambda \cap L$ . Since  $G$  fixes  $\Lambda \cap L$  pointwise  $G$  is the identity on  $\Sigma$ .

Suppose first that  $\dim \Sigma \geq 2$ . Then as in the preceding argument, there exists  $g$  such that  $g(\Sigma) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ,

$g(\Sigma^\perp) = \mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\}$  and  $g^{-1}N(G)g \subset O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1)$ . As  $G$  is the identity on  $\Sigma$  it follows that  $g^{-1}Gg \subset O(k) \times \{1\}$ .

Now suppose  $\dim \Sigma = 1$ . Then  $G$  fixes a unique ray in  $L$  and so must  $N(G)$ . It follows that  $N(G)$  is compact.

The proof of 1.3 is now complete.

Q.E.D.



## §2. Lorentz flat manifolds with discrete holonomy groups

The purpose of this section is to prove the following:

**2.1 Proposition.** Let  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  be a finitely generated subgroup which acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with compact quotient. Assume that the image  $L(\Gamma) \subset O(n-1,1)$  is discrete. Then  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

If  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then certainly  $\Gamma$  is discrete, but the converse does not hold (e.g. no infinite discrete subgroup of  $O(n-1,1) \subset E(n-1,1)$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Similarly, if  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then its image  $L(\Gamma)$  under  $L: E(n-1,1) \rightarrow O(n-1,1)$  may or may not be a discrete subgroup of  $O(n-1,1)$ . In this section we consider the case that  $L(\Gamma)$  is discrete in  $O(n-1,1)$ .

**2.2** The proof proceeds by induction on the dimension  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  any such  $\Gamma$  must either be cyclic or an infinite dihedral group  $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2)$ , and there is nothing to prove.

Assume the statement is true for all dimensions less than  $n > 1$ . We show  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic. Since  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $E(n-1,1) \subset GL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ , it follows from Selberg's lemma (Raghunathan [13], 6.11) that  $\Gamma$  contains a torsionfree subgroup of finite index. Replacing  $\Gamma$  by a subgroup of finite index we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is a torsionfree subgroup of  $E(n-1,1)^0$ .

The kernel of  $L: \Gamma \rightarrow SO(n-1,1)$  is the subgroup  $\Gamma \cap T$  of  $\Gamma$

consisting of translations. Clearly  $\Gamma \cap T$  is a discrete group of translations. First we show that  $\Gamma \cap T$  is nontrivial.

Suppose  $\Gamma \cap T = \{0\}$ , i.e. that  $L: \Gamma \rightarrow L(\Gamma)$  is an isomorphism. Then the double coset space  $L(\Gamma) \backslash SO(n-1,1) / SO(n-1)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperbolic space form and hence an aspherical  $(n-1)$ -manifold with fundamental group isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . In particular the cohomological dimension of  $\Gamma$  is at most  $n-1$ , contradicting the fact that  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of the compact aspherical  $n$ -manifold  $\mathbb{R}^n / \Gamma$ .

2.3 Thus  $T \cap \Gamma$  is nontrivial. Let  $V$  be the subspace spanned by  $T \cap \Gamma$ ; evidently  $V$  is invariant under  $L(\Gamma)$ . Let  $k = \dim V > 0$  and let  $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Lorentzian bilinear form restricted to  $V$ . We distinguish three cases: (i)  $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is degenerate; (ii)  $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is positive definite (i.e. has signature  $(k,0)$ ); (iii)  $b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nondegenerate but not positive definite (i.e. has signature  $(k-1,1)$ ).

We start with case (i). Since  $V$  is  $L(\Gamma)$ -invariant, it follows from 1.2 that  $\Gamma$  must be virtually polycyclic.

2.4 For the other two cases (i) and (ii) consider the splitting of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the orthogonal direct sum  $\mathbb{R}^n = V \oplus V^\perp$ . Let  $O(V \oplus V^\perp)$  denote the subgroup of  $O(n-1,1)$  which preserves  $V$  (and hence the splitting  $V \oplus V^\perp$ ). Then  $O(V \oplus V^\perp)$  is a direct product  $O(V) \times O(V^\perp)$  where  $O(V)$  and  $O(V^\perp)$  are the respective orthogonal groups of the quadratic forms restricted to  $V$  and  $V^\perp$ . Let  $E(V \oplus V^\perp)$  the subgroup  $E(n-1,1)$  generated by  $\mathbb{R}^* \cdot O(V \oplus V^\perp)$  and the

group of translations  $T$ ; clearly  $E(V \oplus V^\perp)$  is the semidirect product  $O(V \oplus V^\perp) \ltimes T$  and the direct product  $E(V) \times E(V^\perp)$  where  $E(V)$  (resp.  $E(V^\perp)$ ) is the group generated by  $O(V)$  (resp.  $(V^\perp)$ ) and the translations in  $V$  (resp.  $V^\perp$ ). Let  $p: E(V \oplus V^\perp) \rightarrow E(V^\perp)$  denote the canonical projection.

By another application of Selberg's lemma we may replace  $\Gamma$  by a subgroup of finite index in order to assume the image of  $\Gamma$  under  $E(V \oplus V^\perp) \rightarrow O(V)$  is torsionfree.

We may consider the covering space  $\hat{M} = \mathbb{R}^n / (\Gamma \cap T)$  of  $M$ . The action of  $V$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by translations defines an action of the  $k$ -torus ( $k = \dim V$ ),  $T^k = V / (\Gamma \cap T)$  on  $\hat{M}$ . Indeed,  $\hat{M}$  becomes a principal  $T^k$ -bundle over  $V^\perp$ .

**2.5 Lemma.**  $\Gamma \cdot V$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $p(\Gamma)$  acts properly discontinuously on  $V^\perp$ .

Recall that a group  $G$  acts properly on a space  $X$  if the canonical mapping  $G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  defined by  $(g, x) \rightarrow (gx, x)$  is proper. A group acts properly discontinuously if and only if it acts properly when it is given the discrete topology. We must show that for any two compact subsets  $K_1, K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the set of all  $v\gamma \in V \cdot \Gamma$  such that  $v\gamma K_1$  meets  $K_2$  is compact. To this end let  $F \subset V$  be a compact subset which meets each  $\Gamma \cap T$ -coset.  $\{v\gamma \in V \cdot \Gamma \mid v\gamma K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset\}$  is a closed subset of the set  $F \cdot \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ , which is compact since  $\Gamma$  acts properly. It follows that  $\Gamma \cdot V = V \cdot \Gamma$  acts properly. Since  $p(V)$  is trivial and  $\Gamma$  is discrete in  $E(V \oplus V^\perp)$ , it follows that  $p(\Gamma)$  is discrete

in  $E(V^\perp)$  and acts properly discontinuously on  $V^\perp$ . The proof of lemma 2.5 is complete.

2.6 Next we show that the kernel of  $p: \Gamma \rightarrow E(V^\perp)$  is precisely  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Ker } L: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n-1,1)$ . For if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $p(\gamma) = 1$  implies that  $\gamma$  acts as the identity on  $V^\perp$ . Thus the induced action of  $\gamma$  on  $\hat{M}$  preserves each  $T^k$ -fiber of  $\hat{M} \rightarrow V^\perp$ . Since  $\gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\hat{M}$ , it must act properly discontinuously on each  $T^k$  and hence its linear part restricted to  $V$ .  $L(\gamma)|_V$  must have finite order. But this implies  $L(\gamma) = 1$  and hence  $\gamma$  is a translation.

We have thus proved: there is an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}^k \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{p} p(\Gamma)$$

and  $p(\Gamma)$  acts properly discontinuously on  $V^\perp$ . In particular  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic if and only if  $p(\Gamma)$  is.

2.7 We conclude the proof of 2.1 in case (i), when the Lorentz metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  restricts to a positive definite form on  $V$ . Then  $V^\perp$  has a  $p(\Gamma)$ -invariant flat Lorentz structure and  $V/p(\Gamma)$  is compact. Since  $\dim V^\perp < n$ , it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $p(\Gamma)$ , and hence  $\Gamma$ , is virtually polycyclic.

2.8 Finally we consider case (ii): when  $V$  inherits a non-degenerate--but nonpositive--form from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In that case  $p(\Gamma)$  acts on  $V^\perp$  by Euclidean isometries (for some Euclidean metric on  $V^\perp$ ). It follows from the classical Bieberbach theorems (see e.g. Wolf

[14], 3.2.1) that such a group is virtually abelian. Therefore  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic and the proof of 2.1 is complete.

### §3. Complete Lorentz flat manifolds with indiscrete linear holonomy group

The purpose of this section is to complete the proof of virtual polycyclicity of the fundamental group of a compact flat Lorentz space form.

Theorem 3.1. Let  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  act properly discontinuously with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compact quotient. Suppose that the linear holonomy group  $L(\Gamma) \subset O(n-1,1)$  is not discrete. Then  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

3.2 One of our principal tools is the following for which a nice discussion may be found in Raghunathan [13], 8.24.

Theorem. (Auslander [1]) Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of a semidirect product  $G = A \rtimes B$  where  $A$  is a solvable normal subgroup. Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow B$  be the canonical projection with kernel  $A$ . Then the identity component  $\overline{\rho(\Gamma)}^0$  of the closure of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is solvable.

Using this fact we can now obtain:

3.3 Proposition. Suppose  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  is a discrete subgroup and the identity component  $\overline{L(\Gamma)}^0$  of the linear part  $L(\Gamma) \subset O(n-1,1)$  is noncompact. Then  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

Proof. By Auslander's theorem  $G = \overline{L(\Gamma)}^0$  is connected closed solvable subgroup of  $O(n-1,1)$ . Furthermore  $L(\Gamma)$  normalizes  $G$ .

By hypothesis  $G$  is noncompact and by 1.3(a)  $L(\Gamma)$  lies in the amenable subgroup  $N(G)$  of  $O(n-1,1)$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of the closed amenable group  $N(G) \rtimes T \subset E(n-1,1)$  and by 3.2  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

Q.E.D.

3.4 Thus we know that a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset E(n-1,1)$  which acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with compact quotient is virtually polycyclic if either (i)  $L(\Gamma)$  is discrete in  $O(n-1,1)$  or (ii) the identity component of the closure of  $L(\Gamma)$  is noncompact. We finish the proof of Theorem 2.1, and in the last remaining case: under the assumption that  $\overline{L(\Gamma)}^0$  is compact.

By 2.2  $G = \overline{L(\Gamma)}^0$  is solvable and in fact abelian (since it is also compact and connected). By lemma 1.3(b), either  $N(S)$  is compact or  $N(S)$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1)$  in such a way that  $S$  maps to  $O(k)$ . In the first case  $\Gamma$  lies in the amenable group  $N(S) \rtimes T$  and must be virtually polycyclic. Thus we may assume that  $S \subset O(k) \times \{1\}$  and  $L(\Gamma) \subset N(S) \subset O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1)$ .

Let  $\rho$  denote the composition  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{L} L(\Gamma) \subset O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1) \rightarrow O(n-k-1,1)$ , and  $\Gamma_1$  denote its kernel. Then  $\Gamma_1$  is a properly discontinuous group of Euclidean isometries; passing to a subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma$  we may assume  $\Gamma$  (and hence  $\Gamma_1$ ) acts freely on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma_1$  is a complete flat Riemannian manifold. By Wolf [14], 3.3.3,  $\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma_1$  deformation retracts onto a compact totally geodesic submanifold, which must be of the form  $F/\Gamma_1$  where  $F$  is a  $\Gamma_1$ -invariant affine subspace. Moreover all

the  $\Gamma_1$ -invariant affine subspaces  $F$  such that  $F/\Gamma_1$  is compact are all parallel and their union is itself an affine subspace  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\Gamma_1$  is normal in  $\Gamma$ , the various  $F$  are permuted by  $\Gamma$  and  $E$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant affine subspace. By Fried-Goldman-Hirsch [8], 2.1,  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Thus we may choose one  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  upon which  $\Gamma_1$  acts as a Euclidean crystallographic group; then  $\Gamma_1$  acts as a Euclidean crystallographic group on each coset  $x:E$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows that all of the eigenvalues of elements of  $L(\Gamma_1)$  are roots of unity. By Selberg's lemma, we may replace  $\Gamma$  by a subgroup of finite index none of whose elements have eigenvalues which are roots of unity except 1. Thus we may assume that  $\Gamma_1$  consists of translations.

We claim that now  $L(\Gamma)$  must be discrete. Since  $S \subset O(k) \times \{1\}$ , the image  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is discrete in  $O(n-k-1,1)$ , i.e.  $L(\Gamma) \subset O(k) \times O(n-k-1,1)$  projects to a discrete subgroup of  $O(n-k-1,1)$ . Since  $L(\Gamma) = 1$  whenever  $\rho(\gamma) = 1$ , it follows that  $L(\Gamma)$  is discrete. By 1.1  $\Gamma$  must be virtually polycyclic.

Q.E.D.



### References

- [1] Auslander, L., On radicals of discrete subgroups of Lie groups, Am. J. Math. 85 (1963), 145-150
- [2] Auslander, L. and Markus, L., Holonomy of flat affinely connected manifolds, Ann. of Math. 82 (1955), 139-151
- [3] Auslander, L. and Markus, L., Flat Lorentz 3-Manifolds, Memoirs of A.M.S. 1959
- [4] Calabi, E. and Markus, L., Relativistic space forms, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 63-76
- [5] Chen, S. S. and Greenberg, L., Hyperbolic spaces, in Contributions to Analysis, Academic Press, New York 1974, pp. 48-87
- [6] Fried, D., (in preparation)
- [7] Fried, D. and Goldman, W., Three-dimensional affine crystallographic groups, Adv. in Math. (to appear)
- [8] Fried, D., Goldman, W., and Hirsch, M. W., Affine manifolds with nilpotent holonomy, Comm. Math. Helv. 56 (1981) 487-523
- [9] Greenberg, L., Discrete subgroups of the Lorentz group, Math. Scand. 10 (1982), 85-107
- [10] Greenleaf, F., Invariant Means on Topological Groups, Van Nostrand Math. Series 16, 1969
- [11] Kamishima, Y., Complete affinely flat manifolds with virtually polycyclic fundamental groups (to appear).
- [12] Milnor, J., On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds Adv. in Math. 25 (1977), 178-187

- [13] Raghunathan, M. S., Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups,  
Ergebnisse band 68, Springer-Verlag 1972
- [14] Wolf, J. A., Spaces of constant curvature, McGraw-Hill  
1967, and Publish or Perish 1974

Department of Mathematics  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, U.S.A.

and

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060, JAPAN